

Residential.				
Proposal Title :	Amend Greater Hume LEP 2012 to rezone 161-185 Pioneer Drive Jindera to R2 Low Density Residential.			
Proposal Summary :	Council seeks to amend Greater Hume LEP 2012 to rezone 161-185 (Lot 7 DP240938) Pioneer Drive, Jindera from R5 Large Lot Residential with a minimum lot size of 2ha to R2 Low Density Residential with a minimum lot size of 2,000m2.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_GHUME_001_00 Dop File No : 16/11748			
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-Aug-2016	LGA covered :	Greater Hume	
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Greater Hume Shire Council	
State Electorate :	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type	Spot Rezoning		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Location Details				
Street : 16	1-185 Pioneer Drive			
Suburb : Jir	ndera City :	Jindera	Postcode : 2642	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 7 DP 240938			
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details	÷		
Contact Name :	Mark Parker			
Contact Number :	0242249472			
Contact Email :	mark.parker@planning.nsw.gov	/.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Colin Kane			
Contact Number :	0260448928			
Contact Email :	ckane@greaterhume.nsw.gov.a	iu		
DoP Project Manager Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel			
Contact Number :	0242249468			
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.nsw.	gov.au		
Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy	N/A	

MDP Number :			
NDF Number.		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg	
:		Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No, of Dwellings (where relevant) :	50
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government	Yes		
Lobbyists Code of			
Conduct has been			
complied with :			
If No, comment :			
Have there been	No		
meetings or			
communications with registered lobbyists?			
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal seeks to increase the residential densities on land on the urban edge of Jindera to take advantage of urban infrastructure in that locality.		
equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	it jectives - s55(2)(a)		
equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes		trend in the second
equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar	il seeks to increase residential
equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar	il seeks to increase residential
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F locality. risions provided - s55	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar	il seeks to increase residential
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov	nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F locality. risions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposa Plan 2012 Land Zonin	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment :: Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov	nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F locality. risions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposa Plan 2012 Land Zonin Residential with a min	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Grea ig and Lot Size Maps. The land will	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2	nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F locality. risions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposa Plan 2012 Land Zonin Residential with a min	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Grea Ing and Lot Size Maps. The land will nimum lot size of 2000m2.	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2	nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of obje densities at 161-185 F locality. risions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposa Plan 2012 Land Zonin Residential with a min 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Grea Ing and Lot Size Maps. The land will nimum lot size of 2000m2.	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates	at jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives at 161-185 F locality. The plansities at 161-185 F locality. The planning propose Plan 2012 Land Zoning Residential with a mini- 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Grea Ing and Lot Size Maps. The land will nimum lot size of 2000m2.	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Pustification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strated b) S.117 directions ider * May need the Directo	at jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives at 161-185 F locality. The plansities at 161-185 F locality. The planning propose Plan 2012 Land Zoning Residential with a mini- 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	ectives clearly indicates that Counce Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Great and Lot Size Maps. The land will nimum lot size of 2000m2. Director General? No 3.1 Residential Zones 4.3 Flood Prone Land	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that
equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions ider * May need the Director Is the Director Gene	at jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives at 161-185 F locality. The plansing provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The planning propose Plan 2012 Land Zonin Residential with a mining 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA : r General's agreement	ectives clearly indicates that Counc Pioneer Drive, Jindera to take advar (2)(b) al identifies the changes to the Great og and Lot Size Maps. The land will nimum lot size of 2000m2. Director General? No 3.1 Residential Zones 4.3 Flood Prone Land Yes	il seeks to increase residential ntage of urban infrastructure in that

	SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
	SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	
Have inconsistencies	s with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS:
	3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted.
	The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.
	3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT: Council has not identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however, it does apply as the planning proposal will create a zone relating to urban land.
	The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this Direction as it locates new residential development at the edge of town. However, the inconsistency can be justified as it is consistent with the land use strategy prepared by the Council for Jindera, and it is relatively close to the centre and employment areas. In any case any inconsistency could be considered to be of minor significance.
	 RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that any potential inconsistency is: justified by a study that gives consideration to the objective of this Direction; and it is of minor significance.
	4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will create a zone that affects flood prone land.
	The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this Direction as it rezones land within the flood planning area from R5 Large Lot Residential to a R2 Low Density Residential Zone which contains provisions that permit a significant increase in the development density of that land.
	The flood affected land is identified as 'flood fringe' with a hazard category of 'low' (Jindera Flood Study). The flooding is minor and can be dealt with effectively in the subdivision design of the land.
	RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance (in relation to the provisions of the planning proposal that are inconsistent).
	SEPPs None of the SEPPs identified directly relate to the planning proposal and there are no inconsistencies.
Mapping Provide	d - s55(2)(d)
ls mapping provided	? Yes
Comment :	The maps in the planning proposal are adequate for exhibition purposes. The LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial

Residential.	
	Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment, 2015) when the plan is submitted for finalisation.
	RECOMMENDATION: Council is to prepare maps in accordance with the 'Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment 2015)' when the plan is submitted for finalisation.
Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The Council has recommended community consultation for a period of 28 days.
	RECOMMENDATION: That community consultation for 28 days is appropriate.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	and the second
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Greater Hume LEP 2012 is in operation.
Assessment Criteria	8
Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the only mechanism to amend the Land Zoning and Lot Size Maps in Greater Hume LEP 2012.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with Council's local strategy for Jindera town.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal rezones an area zoned for large lot residential development on the edge of Jindera town. The land is extensively cleared and has been used for agriculture for about 100 years and there are unlikely to be any environmental impacts. The additional housing choice will benefit Jindera socially and economically in terms of housing affordability.

Assessment Process	6				
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Enviror	iment and Her	itage		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	3 :				
Identify any internal con	sultations if require	ed :			
No internal consultatio		-			
	-		t te this slop? Ne		
Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons :		ucture relevan			
Documents					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
20160622 Council reso	lution.pdf		Proposal		No
20160622 Council repo		on ndf	Proposal Study		No No
Local Environmental S 20162907 Council cove		on.pui	Proposal Coverin	na Letter	No
Planning Proposal Pion		f	Proposal	0	Νο
Planning Team Recom	mendation		a seguence dans pr	Cores G	
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal suppo	orted at this sta	ge : Recommended with Con	nditions	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential 4.3 Flood Pron				
Additional Information	Minister for Pla to the Greater I Lot Residentia	nning, detern Hume LEP 201 I to R2 Low De	e Acting Director Regions, So nine under section 56(2) of th 2 to rezone 161-185 Pioneer ensity Residential with a mini ving conditions:	e EP&A Act that Drive, Jindera fr	an amendment om R5 Large
	1. Community	consultation is	s required under sections 56	(2)(c) and 57 of t	he Environmental

--

....

	Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Environment, 2013)'.
	2. Consultation is required with Office of Environment and Heritage under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act in relation to flooding issues on the site.
	The Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. OEH is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. OEH may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the date of the Gateway determination.
	5. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal's inconsistencies with s117 Directions:
	 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport; and 4.3 Flood Prone Land; are of minor significance.
	(b) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones.
	(c) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance; and
	(d) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form.
	SEPPs The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.
Supporting Reasons :	Supporting Reasons: The proposal does not raise any significant issues because the site is currently zoned for large lot residential development and has been cleared and used for agriculture for about 100 years.
	Intensifying development will enable more efficient use of urban infrastructure available at the site.

Amend Greater Hume LEP 2012 to rezone 161-185 Pioneer Drive Jindera to R2 Low Density Residential.				
Signature:				
Printed Name:	Dearne France Date:	8/9/16		